

# The Relationship between Smartphone Use and Oral Health in Adolescents

Eunsuk Ahn<sup>1</sup> and Ji-Hyoung Han<sup>2,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Dental Hygiene, Daejeon Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon 35408,

<sup>2</sup>Department of Dental Hygiene, Suwon Science College, Hwaseong 18516, Korea

**Background:** Smartphones are a modern necessity. While they are convenient to use, smartphones also have side effects such as addiction. This study assessed the relationship between smartphone use, a part of everyday life in modern society, and oral health.

**Methods:** An analysis was conducted using 2017 Korea Youth Risk Behavior Web-based Survey data. The propensity score estimation algorithm used logistic regression and 1:1 matching algorithm using nearest-neighbor matching. After matching, a total of 15,032 participants were classified into two groups containing 7,516 teenagers each who did and did not use smartphones, respectively.

**Results:** Comparison of oral health behaviors according to smartphone use revealed a statistically significant difference in the frequency of tooth brushing per day, use of oral hygiene products, intake of foods harmful to oral health, and experience of oral health education ( $p < 0.05$ ). The factors affecting oral pain experience of adolescents were examined. Compared to male participants, female participants had an odds ratio of 1.627 for oral pain ( $p < 0.05$ ). According to the household income level, compared to the group with higher income, the group with lower income showed higher oral pain experience ( $p < 0.05$ ). Oral pain experience was 1.601 times more frequent among teenagers using smartphones ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Conclusion:** The results of this study indicated that use of smartphones by adolescents affected their oral health. These findings indicate the need for improved oral health management through the use of effective school oral health programs and individual counseling by oral health professionals, promotion of information dissemination through public media, and development of prevention strategies.

**Key Words:** Adolescent, Oral health, Smartphone

## Introduction

In addition to Internet use, smartphones are now a necessity for modern life. Daily living activities start and end with the use of a smartphone. People use their smartphones for financial services and news, social media, messengers, movies, reading, watching television, and playing games. As such, smartphones have a net function that makes everyday life easier. However, while smartphones offer conveniences, they also have side effects such as addiction.

According to the Ministry of Science and ICT and the Korea Internet & Security Agency, 89.5% of individuals over 3 years of age had smartphones in 2018, 19.1% of whom are at risk of overdependency. In particular, 34.0% of middle school students and 28.3% of high school students were at risk for overdependence, the highest rates compared with other age groups. The use of messengers by content was the highest, followed by social networking service (SNS), news, movies, television, videos, and games<sup>1)</sup>.

Adolescent overdependence on smartphones causes problems in communication with others due to neglect of

Received: February 25, 2020, Revised: March 2, 2020, Accepted: March 4, 2020

eISSN 2233-7679

<sup>†</sup>Correspondence to: Ji-Hyoung Han, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1613-2879>

Department of Dental Hygiene, Suwon Science College, 288 Seja-ro, Jeongnam-myeon, Hwaseong 18516, Korea  
Tel: +82-31-350-2418, Fax: +82-31-350-2075, E-mail: hanjh@ssc.ac.kr

Copyright © The Korean Society of Dental Hygiene Science.

© This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

external relationships and reduced ability to adapt to school life<sup>2,3</sup>). In addition, overdependence has been reported to negatively affect not only family relationships, mental health, and sleep disturbances but also physical health and eating habits<sup>4,5</sup>). Furthermore, smartphone use is associated with decreased physical activity and has been reported to affect obesity rates depending on smartphone usage time<sup>6,7</sup>).

Adolescence is a period of rapid physical development as well as psychological and social growth. The health beliefs and behaviors formed in adolescence affect adulthood. Therefore, attention to adolescent health is important<sup>8,9</sup>). The general characteristics of the oral condition of adolescents frequently include increased snack intake, multiple dental caries, and progression from gingivitis to periodontal disease<sup>10</sup>). Oral health care in adolescence is important because dental caries and periodontal disease, which are serious oral diseases, affect tooth loss in adulthood and old age. Korean adolescents may neglect oral health care because of stress and lack of time due to schoolwork. Especially in the modern society, the side effects of smartphones are additive; thus, increased attention is required.

Although studies have compared the relationship between smartphone use and oral health in adolescents, few have compared factors affecting oral health after adjusting for demographic factors. This study investigated the factors affecting the oral health of adolescents after propensity score matching for socio-demographic characteristics. The results of this study are intended to provide basic data for policies related to the use of smart devices in oral health promotion projects in adolescents.

## Materials and Methods

### 1. Subjects

This study analyzed data from the 2017 Korea Youth Risk Behavior Web-based Survey. In general, selection bias may occur in observational studies when selecting target and comparative groups. Accurate inferences of causality are not possible or can also lead to errors that can underestimate or overestimate the results<sup>11,12</sup>). Therefore, this study selected variables to control for confounding variables in the design stage, calculated the variables as

covariates, and used propensity score matching to form similar experimental and control groups<sup>13</sup>). The propensity score estimation algorithm used logistic regression and a 1:1 matching algorithm using nearest-neighbor matching. The covariates were sex, age, and economic status and the binary treatment indicators were based on smartphone use. The study population before matching was 61,861; after matching, 7,516 adolescents each were classified into smartphone and non-smartphone use groups, with a total of 15,032 participants.

### 2. Variables used in the analysis

The variables used in the analysis are shown in [Table 1](#). The questions “Have you had a tooth sore in the last 12 months?” and “Have you had gum pain or bleeding in the last 12 months?” were used as oral pain variables. The covariates considered socio-demographic characteristics such as sex, age, and household income level. The independent variables included smartphone use and oral health behaviors including intake of soda drinks, sweet

**Table 1.** Definition of Variables

Classification	Definition
Dependent	No=0, yes=1
Experience of oral pain	
Covariate	
General characteristics	
Sex	Male=1, female=2
Age (y)	12 ~ 18
House income	Upper=1, upper-middle-high=2, middle=3, lower-middle=4, lower=5
Independent	
Use of smart phone	None= 0, use=1
Oral health	
Frequency of soda drink (per week)	None=1, 1 ~ 2 times=2, 3 ~ 4 times=3, ≥ 5 times=4
Frequency of sweet drink (per week)	
Frequency of cracker (per week)	
Frequency of tooth brushing (per day)	None=1, 1 ~ 2 times=2, ≥ 3 times =3
Use of oral hygiene products	None= 0, use=1
Experience of oral health education for the last 1 year	No= 0, yes=1

drinks, and cracker over the past week. The analysis also included the average frequency of tooth brushing per day, use of oral hygiene products, and oral health education experiences.

### 3. Data analysis

The general characteristics of the study subjects were confirmed through frequency analysis. Chi-square tests were performed to compare oral health behaviors and oral pain experiences according to smartphone use. Finally, logistic regression analysis was performed to identify factors affecting oral pain experience. All analyses were performed using STATA version 14.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA) with a significance level of  $p=0.05$ .

## Results

### 1. General characteristics

The general characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 2. The distribution of the characteristics between the two groups before and after propensity score matching was compared to make the distribution similar between

the groups according to smartphone use, the characteristics between the group using smartphone and the group without the smartphone were used as covariate variables. It was observed that the differences in the characteristics of the individuals in the group decreased.

### 2. Comparison of oral health behavior according to smartphone use

A comparison of oral health behavior in adolescents according to smartphone use is shown in Table 3. The average frequency of tooth brushing per day, use of oral hygiene products, intake of foods harmful to oral health, and experience of oral health education differed significantly between user groups ( $p < 0.05$ ). The daily average frequency of tooth brushing showed a higher proportion of adolescents who brushed more than three times daily among those who did not use a smartphone (50.31%) compared to those who used a smartphone (49.69%). However, the use of axillary oral hygiene products was higher in smartphone used adolescents (52.47%) than that in adolescents who did not use smartphones (47.53%). Comparison of foods that are harmful to oral health showed that adolescents who did not use smartphones do

Table 2. Sample Characteristics

Characteristic	Use of smartphone before PSM (n=61,861)		Use of smartphone after PSM (n=15,032)	
	None	Use	None	Use
Sex				
Male	26,777 (49.27)	4,576 (60.88)	4,576 (60.88)	4,576 (60.88)
Female	27,568 (50.73)	2,940 (39.12)	2,940 (39.12)	2,940 (39.12)
Age (y)				
12	4,353 (8.01)	634 (8.44)	634 (8.44)	634 (8.44)
13	9,028 (16.61)	1,252 (16.66)	1,252 (16.66)	1,252 (16.66)
14	8,971 (16.51)	1,315 (17.50)	1,315 (17.50)	1,315 (17.50)
15	9,097 (16.74)	1,238 (16.47)	1,238 (16.47)	1,238 (16.47)
16	9,478 (17.44)	1,229 (16.35)	1,229 (16.35)	1,229 (16.35)
17	9,583 (17.63)	1,291 (17.18)	1,291 (17.18)	1,291 (17.18)
18	3,835 (7.06)	557 (7.41)	557 (7.41)	557 (7.41)
House income				
Upper	5,428 (9.99)	1,137 (15.13)	1,137 (15.13)	1,137 (15.13)
Upper-middle	15,976 (29.40)	2,063 (27.45)	2,063 (27.45)	2,063 (27.45)
Middle	25,238 (46.44)	3,256 (43.32)	3,256 (43.32)	3,256 (43.32)
Lower-Middle	6,422 (11.82)	830 (11.04)	830 (11.04)	830 (11.04)
Lower	1,281 (2.36)	230 (3.06)	230 (3.06)	230 (3.06)

Values are presented as n (%).

PSM: propensity score matching.

**Table 3.** Oral Health Behavior according to Using Smart Phone

Variable	Use of smartphone (n=7,516)		$\chi^2$	p-value
	None	Use		
Frequency of tooth brushing				
None	128 (62.44)	77 (37.56)	28.92	< 0.001
≤ 2 times	521 (44.23)	657 (55.77)		
≥ 3 times	6,867 (50.31)	6,782 (49.69)		
Use of oral hygiene products				
None	6,466 (50.43)	6,357 (49.57)	6.31	0.01
Use	1,050 (47.53)	1,159 (52.47)		
Frequency of soda drink (per week)				
None	1,742 (53.88)	1,491 (46.12)	35.12	< 0.001
1 ~ 2 times	3,295 (49.33)	3,385 (50.67)		
3 ~ 4 times	1,531 (46.82)	1,739 (53.18)		
≥ 5 times	948 (51.27)	901 (48.73)		
Frequency of sweet drink (per week)				
None	1,295 (60.26)	854 (39.74)	157.90	< 0.001
1 ~ 2 times	3,110 (51.67)	2,909 (48.33)		
3 ~ 4 times	1,884 (45.72)	2,237 (54.28)		
≥ 5 times	1,227 (44.73)	1,516 (55.27)		
Frequency of cracker (per week)				
None	1,500 (53.80)	1,288 (46.20)	29.23	< 0.001
1 ~ 2 times	3,373 (50.39)	3,321 (49.61)		
3 ~ 4 times	1,896 (47.78)	2,072 (52.22)		
≥ 5 times	747 (47.22)	835 (52.78)		
Experience of oral education				
No	4,615 (55.54)	3,695 (44.46)	227.77	< 0.001
Yes	2,901 (43.16)	3,821 (56.84)		

p-value of Chi-squared test.

not consume these foods than once weekly compared to adolescents who used smartphones. A difference was also observed in the types of food ingested. Comparison of consumption of foods harmful to oral health more than five times per week showed higher ingestion of sweet drinks (55.27%) and sweets (52.78%) among smartphone users. Similarly, more adolescent smartphone users had experience with oral health education (56.84%).

### 3. Factors influencing the oral pain experience of adolescents

Table 4 shows the factors influencing the oral pain experience of adolescents. Compared to male participants, female participants had an odds ratio of 1.627 for oral pain ( $p < 0.05$ ). According to household income level, participants with lower income had experienced oral pain more often than had those with higher income. In particular, the

group with lower income had an odds ratio twice that in the higher income group. Increased intake of foods that are harmful to oral health is associated with higher occurrence of oral pain ( $p < 0.05$ ). Adolescents who brushed their teeth three or more times per day tended to experience less oral pain than did those who did not brush their teeth ( $p < 0.05$ ). Analysis of the experience of oral pain according to smartphone use showed more frequent pain among those using smartphones, with an odds ratio of 1.601 ( $p < 0.05$ ).

## Discussion

Smartphones provide convenience and pleasure to our lives. However, excessive smartphone use can lead to addiction and side effects such as poor academic performance, cognitive function, and emotional control, as well as interpersonal difficulties. In particular, adolescents

**Table 4.** Factors Affecting Experience of Oral Pain

Variable	OR (95 % CI)	SE	z	p-value
Sex				
Male	(Ref)			
Female	1.627 (1.517 ~ 1.745)	0.058	13.61	< 0.001
School				
Middle school	(Ref)			
High school	1.119 (1.097 ~ 1.142)	0.012	10.90	< 0.001
House income				
Upper	(Ref)			
Upper-middle	1.497 (1.342 ~ 1.669)	0.083	7.26	< 0.001
Middle	1.475 (1.330 ~ 1.634)	0.077	7.40	< 0.001
Lower-Middle	1.979 (1.730 ~ 2.263)	0.135	9.97	< 0.001
Lower	2.001 (1.623 ~ 2.466)	0.213	6.50	< 0.001
Soda drink (per week)				
None	(Ref)			
1 ~ 2 times	1.018 (0.930 ~ 1.114)	0.047	0.38	0.703
3 ~ 4 times	1.107 (0.993 ~ 1.234)	0.061	1.83	0.067
≥ 5 times	1.121 (0.984 ~ 1.278)	0.075	1.72	0.085
Sweet drink (per week)				
None	(Ref)			
1 ~ 2 times	1.282 (1.151 ~ 1.427)	0.070	4.53	< 0.001
3 ~ 4 times	1.439 (1.280 ~ 1.618)	0.086	6.10	< 0.001
≥ 5 times	1.59 (1.396 ~ 1.811)	0.106	6.98	< 0.001
Cracker (per week)				
None	(Ref)			
1 ~ 2 times	1.085 (0.987 ~ 1.191)	0.052	1.70	0.09
3 ~ 4 times	1.189 (1.071 ~ 1.319)	0.063	3.26	0.001
≥ 5 times	1.383 (1.211 ~ 1.579)	0.094	4.78	< 0.001
Frequency of tooth brushing				
None	(Ref)			
≤ 2 times	0.978 (0.719 ~ 1.329)	0.153	-0.14	0.886
≥ 3 times	0.683 (0.513 ~ 0.909)	0.100	-2.62	0.009
Use of oral hygiene products				
None	(Ref)			
Use	0.939 (0.854 ~ 1.031)	0.045	-1.32	0.188
Experience of oral education				
No	(Ref)			
Yes	1.178 (1.092 ~ 1.270)	0.045	4.25	< 0.001
Use of smart phone				
None	(Ref)			
Use	1.601 (1.497 ~ 1.711)	0.054	13.83	< 0.001
Constant	0.123 (0.084 ~ 0.182)	0.024	-10.64	< 0.001

p-value of logistic regression.

OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, SE: standard error, Ref: reference.

are highly addicted to the Internet, smartphones, and games<sup>14)</sup>. This study investigated the relationship between smartphone use and oral health in adolescents.

Comparison of oral health behaviors according to smartphone use showed that many adolescents without

smartphones brushed their teeth more than three times a day. Comparison of the consumption of foods that are harmful to oral health revealed that adolescents using smartphones consumed sweets drinks and sweets more than five times a week. Lim and Kim<sup>4)</sup> reported a high

intake ratio of bread or sweets in snacks among smartphone users and Kim and Kim<sup>15)</sup> reported decreased the size of each meals, delayed meal speed, and increased number of snacks with smartphone use. In addition, Namkoong and Ma<sup>16)</sup> reported negative experiences with smartphone use, included changed interests in oral health. Thus, poor behavior and lack of interest caused by smartphone use affect oral health. However, smartphone users had more experience in terms of oral health education. Nevertheless, the poor oral health behaviors in this population suggest the need to develop and utilize smartphone-based oral health education materials.

Factors affecting oral pain experiences were more often observed in female than in male and participants with lower household incomes experienced more pain. In particular, the group with lower income experienced twice as much pain as did the group with higher income. Besides smartphone use, socioeconomic factors also affect oral health. Therefore, the oral health of vulnerable-class teenagers addicted to smartphones will be more adversely affected. Identification of various ways to manage oral health care is required for this population. There is a need for oral health projects to improve social environment interventions and oral health equity. In particular, revitalization of school oral health programs is required<sup>17)</sup>.

Oral pain was 1.6 times more frequently reported among teenagers using smartphones. Do<sup>18)</sup> reported an association between longer Internet usage time and increased risk of oral symptom experience, with a 1.17- and 1.25-fold increase in the risk of oral symptoms for 5 to 6 and more than 7 hours of use, respectively, compared to within 1 hour of use. Smartphone use has been proven to be a factor affecting oral pain and symptom experience. In modern society, it is not desirable to suppress unconditional use to eliminate the side effects of smartphone use in adolescents. Kim et al.<sup>3)</sup> reported that adolescents complained more of the problems of overuse and restraint than did other age groups and recognized their problems. However, they were dissatisfied with external controls such as parent or teacher arrangements. Therefore, decreased oral health care caused by smartphone dependence may be appropriately controlled by individual consultations with oral health professionals. In addition, public relations and

content development for prevention and public awareness are needed for dissemination by parents, schools, and teachers<sup>2)</sup>.

This study has limitations inherent to cross-sectional studies in proving causal relationships. In addition, this study focused only on the use of smartphones; additional studies are needed to determine the effects of factors such as the purpose of use of smartphones and usage time on adolescents' oral health. Nevertheless, it is meaningful to study the relationship between smartphone use, which is becoming a problem in modern society, and adolescents during the important periods during which lifelong oral health habits are formed. Future studies should assess study oral health behaviors according to the purpose of smartphone use such as messenger, SNS, and games.

## Notes

### Conflict of interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

### Ethical approval

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Suwon Science College, which also approved the secondary data analysis (IRB2-7008167-AB-N-01-201805-HR-001-01).

### Author contributions

Conceptualization: Eunsuk Ahn, Ji-Hyoung. Data acquisition: Eunsuk Ahn. Formal analysis: Eunsuk Ahn. Funding: Eunsuk Ahn, Ji-Hyoung Han. Supervision: Eunsuk Ahn, Ji-Hyoung Han. Writing—original draft: Eunsuk Ahn, Ji-Hyoung Han. Writing—review & editing: Eunsuk Ahn, Ji-Hyoung Han.

### ORCID

Eunsuk Ahn, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9404-4826>

Ji-Hyoung Han, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1613-2879>

## References

1. Ministry of Science and ICT and National Information

- Society Agency: 2018 the survey on smart phone over-dependence. ICT and National Information Society Agency, Daegu, pp.33-39, 2018.
2. Ha TH, Beak HG: A study on relationships between youth smart phone addiction and mind health, and parameter effect of school life adaptation. *J Korean Assoc Comput Educ* 17: 147-159, 2014.
  3. Kim NR, Yoo KU, Kim JH, Lee KH: The concept map on smartphone stress factors based on the life-stage (adolescent, undergraduate, and office worker). *Korean J Health Psychol* 22: 745-777, 2017.
  4. Lim HS, Kim SK: Analysis of health habit and hair mineral nutrition status of media addicted adolescent. *J Nutr Health* 51: 295-306, 2018.  
<https://doi.org/10.4163/jnh.2018.51.4.295>
  5. No UK: Meta-analysis about relationship between adolescents' smartphone addiction and mental health. *J Res Educ* 31: 141-168, 2018.
  6. Jeong MK, Kim GM, Jung HH, Park SK: The association between smartphone dependence trends and body mass index & health fitness in adolescents. *J Sport Leis Stud* 78: 461-469, 2019.
  7. Park MH, Song HY: Relationship among the Korean adolescents obesity, health behavior and smart phone usage. *J Korean Public Health Nurs* 33: 47-58, 2019.  
<https://doi.org/10.5932/JKPHN.2019.33.1.47>
  8. Hancox RJ, Milne BJ, Poulton R: Association between child and adolescent television viewing and adult health: a longitudinal birth cohort study. *Lancet* 364: 257-262, 2004.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(04\)16675-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16675-0)
  9. Blum RW, Garell D, Hodgman CH, et al.: Transition from child-centered to adult health-care systems for adolescents with chronic conditions. A position paper of the Society for Adolescent Medicine. *J Adolesc Health* 14: 570-576, 1993.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/1054-139x\(93\)90143-d](https://doi.org/10.1016/1054-139x(93)90143-d)
  10. Cockerham WC, Sharp K, Wilcox JA: Aging and perceived health status. *J Gerontol* 38: 349-355, 1983.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/geronj/38.3.349>
  11. Jang MH, Park CG: Risk factors influencing probability and severity of elder abuse in community-dwelling older adults: applying zero-inflated negative binomial modeling of abuse count data. *J Korean Acad Nurs* 42: 819-832, 2012.  
<https://doi.org/10.4040/jkan.2012.42.6.819>
  12. Caliendo M, Kopeinig S: Some practical guidance for the implementation of propensity score matching. *J Econ Surv* 22: 31-72, 2008.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6419.2007.00527.x>
  13. Rosenbaum PR, Rubin DB: The central role of the propensity score in observational studies for causal effects. *Biometrika* 70: 41-55, 1983.
  14. Cho HS: A study on the solution for the internet, smartphone, and internet game addiction of teenagers. *Korean J Youth Stud* 26: 291-310, 2019.  
<https://doi.org/10.21509/KJYS.2019.10.26.10.291>
  15. Kim EJ, Kim SY: Correlation between smartphone addiction and eating behaviors of elementary school students in Ansan area. *J Korean Soc Food Sci Nutr* 44: 1007-1015, 2015.  
<https://doi.org/10.3746/jkfn.2015.44.7.1007>
  16. Namkoong EJ, Ma DS: Correlation between oral health behaviors and problematic experiences associated with smartphone use in adolescents. *J Korean Acad Oral Health* 43: 157-162, 2019.
  17. Heo HH, Che XH, Jeong WJ, Chung HJ: Evaluation of community interventions to reduce health inequity in socioeconomically vulnerable populations. *Korean J Health Educ Promot* 34: 1-13, 2017.  
<https://doi.org/10.14367/kjhep.2017.34.2.1>
  18. Do KY: Impact of health risk factors on the oral health of Korean adolescents: Korea youth risk behavior web-based survey, 2013. *J Dent Hyg Sci* 16: 193-199, 2016.  
<https://doi.org/10.17135/jdhs.2016.16.3.193>